



# Local Stabilization of Analytic Systems with $n-1$ inputs

Abderrahman Iggidr, Jean-Claude Vivalda

## ► To cite this version:

Abderrahman Iggidr, Jean-Claude Vivalda. Local Stabilization of Analytic Systems with  $n-1$  inputs. Proc. of the Nonlinear Control Systems Design Symposium NOLCOS'92, Jun 1992, Bordeaux, France. hal-01862881

**HAL Id: hal-01862881**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01862881>**

Submitted on 29 Aug 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Local stabilization of analytic systems with $n - 1$ inputs

A. Iggidr J.C. Vivalda

INRIA Lorraine ( CONGE Project ) & URA- CNRS 399 - M.M.A.S.  
CESCOM – Technopôle METZ 2000 4, rue Marconi 57 070 METZ

**Abstract** In this communication, we investigate the local stabilization of analytic systems  $\dot{x} = f(x) + Bu$  with  $n - 1$  inputs. We state a sufficient condition on the first approximation of  $f$  for which the local asymptotic stabilizability can be achieved; furthermore, we give explicitly the stabilizing feedback.

**Keywords** Feedback, asymptotic stabilization, nonlinear systems, Lyapunov's function.

## 1 Introduction

We consider a nonlinear control system with  $n - 1$  inputs:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = f(x) + Bu \\ x \in \mathbb{R}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an analytic mapping,  $B$  is a  $n \times (n - 1)$  matrix of rank  $n - 1$ .

We shall say that system (1) is locally asymptotically stabilizable (L.A.S.) if there exists (locally) a feedback  $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $u(0) = 0$  and the origin is a locally asymptotically state equilibrium point for the closed-loop system  $\dot{x} = f(x) + Bu(x)$ .

Local stabilizability has been investigated by many authors: one can cite Kawski ([7]), Dayawansa-Martin-Knowles ([3],[4]), Boothby-Marino ([1]) who studied planar systems as well as Hermes ([5]).

In a precedent work ([6]), we gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a homogeneous polynomial system to be globally stabilizable. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for (1) to be L.A.S. and we construct explicitly the stabilizing feedback. As an example, consider the system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = e^{x_1+x_3} - e^{x_2+x_3} - x_1 - x_2 \\ \dot{x}_2 = u_2 \\ \dot{x}_3 = u_3 \end{cases}$$

We shall see that it can be stabilized by means of the following feedback law:

$$\begin{cases} u_2 = -(1 + 2x_1)(e^{x_1} - e^{x_2} - x_1 + x_2) \\ \quad - x_1 \left( 1 - e^{x_2} \frac{\exp(x_1 + x_1^2 + x_2) - 1}{x_1 + x_1^2 + x_2} \right) \\ \quad - x_1 - x_1^2 - x_2 \\ u_3 = -\frac{e^{x_3} - 1}{x_3}(e^{x_1} - e^{x_2}). \\ \quad (x_1 + (x_1 + x_1^2 + x_2)(2x_1 + 1)) \end{cases}$$

The linearized system of (1) is:

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \quad (2)$$

where  $A = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0)$ . It is well known that a sufficient condition for (1) to be L.A.S. is that (2) is also L.A.S. ([2]) but what happens when one has  $A \equiv 0$ ? We can generally write  $f(x) = P_r(x) + o(|x|^r)$  where  $P_r$ , the first approximation of  $f$ , is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $r$ . In the sequel, we shall give conditions on polynomial  $P_r$  for which system (1) is L.A.S.; in fact we shall see that if the system:

$$\dot{x} = P_r(x) + Bu \quad (3)$$

is stabilizable then so is system (1). The particular case when  $f$  is exactly a homogeneous polynomial was studied in [6].

## 2 Notations and preliminaries

We denote by  $\langle x, y \rangle$  the usual inner product and by  $\det$  the determinant. For  $n - 1$  vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ ,

we denote by  $x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n-1}$  the unique vector satisfying:

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad \det(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x) = \langle x_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_{n-1}, x \rangle$$

We denote by  $b_2, \dots, b_n$  the columns of matrix  $B$  and by  $b_1$ , the vector  $b_1 = b_2 \wedge \dots \wedge b_n$ ; clearly  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in which system (1) can be written:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x) \\ \dot{x}_2 = f_2(x) + u_2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n = f_n(x) + u_n \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

after the following change in inputs space:

$$u_i = -f_i(x) + v_i \quad i = 2, \dots, n$$

system (4) becomes:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = f_1(x) \\ \dot{x}_2 = v_2 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{x}_n = v_n \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

$f_1$  is an analytic function, so it can be written:

$$f_1(x) = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} P_i(x)$$

when the  $P_i$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ .

### 3 Case where $r$ is even

**Theorem 1** *If the polynomial  $P_r$  takes both positive and negative values, then system (5) is stabilizable.*

#### Proof

Since  $P_r(x)$  changes its sign, there exists  $\tilde{b}_1$  and  $e_2$  such that  $P_r(\tilde{b}_1) \cdot P_r(e_2)$  is negative. Let  $H = \text{span}(b_2, \dots, b_n)$ ,  $H$  has an empty interior so we can suppose that  $\tilde{b}_1$  does not belong to  $H$ . The plane spanned by vectors  $\tilde{b}_1$ , and  $e_2$  intersects  $H$  at  $\tilde{b}_2$  and without loss of generality we can assume that  $(\tilde{b}_2, b_3, \dots, b_n)$  is a basis of  $H$ . The transformation matrix between the basis  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  and  $(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, b_3, \dots, b_n)$  is:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_n & \beta_n & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n$  being such that  $\tilde{b}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i b_i$  and  $\tilde{b}_2 = \sum_{i=2}^n \beta_i b_i$

We have:

$$T^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\alpha_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\alpha_2/\beta_2\alpha_1 & 1/\beta_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \star & & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \star & \star & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the new basis  $(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, b_3, \dots, b_n)$  system (5) is given by the set of equations:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\tilde{x}}_1 = \tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}) \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_2 = \tilde{f}_2(\tilde{x}) + v_2/\beta_2 \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_3 = \tilde{f}_3(\tilde{x}) + \gamma_3 v_2 + v_3 \\ \vdots \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_n = \tilde{f}_n(\tilde{x}) + \gamma_n v_2 + v_n \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}) = \frac{1}{\alpha_1} f_1(T\tilde{x})$ ,  $\tilde{f}_1$  can be written:

$$\tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}) = g(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) + \sum_{i=3}^n \tilde{x}_i g_i(\tilde{x})$$

where  $g_i(\tilde{x}) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial \tilde{x}_i}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, t\tilde{x}_3, \dots, t\tilde{x}_n) dt$

and  $g(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \tilde{f}_1(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, 0, \dots, 0) = \sum_{i=r}^{\infty} \tilde{P}_i(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$

the  $\tilde{P}_i$  being homogeneous polynomials of degree  $i$ , and  $\tilde{P}_r$  taking both positive and negative values.

We focus our attention on the system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\tilde{x}}_1 = \tilde{P}_r(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) + \tilde{P}_{r+1}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) + \dots \\ \dot{\tilde{x}}_2 = w_2 \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

$\tilde{P}_r(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$  can be written:

$$\tilde{P}_r(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = L_1^{r_1} L_2^{r_2} \dots L_q^{r_q} Q_1^{m_1} \dots Q_s^{m_s}$$

where  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_q$  are linear forms two by two linearly independant and  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  are irreducible homogeneous polynomials of degree 2. Since  $\tilde{P}_r$  changes its sign, at least two exponents  $r_i$  and  $r_j$  are odd; we can assume that  $i = 1, j = 2$  and since  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are linearly independant, we can suppose that  $L_1 = \lambda \tilde{x}_1 + \mu \tilde{x}_2$  with  $\mu \neq 0$ . Let  $h(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, z) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tilde{x}_2}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 - tz) dt$  and consider the feedback law:

$$w_2(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \frac{-\lambda + \gamma(1 + 1/r_1)\tilde{x}_1^{1/r_1}}{\mu} g(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) - \tilde{x}_1 h(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, z) - z$$

together with the positive definite function:

$$V(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{x}_1^2 + z^2)$$

where we put

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \tilde{x}_2 - k(\tilde{x}_1) \\ k(\tilde{x}_1) &= \frac{-\lambda \tilde{x}_1 + \gamma \tilde{x}_1^{1+1/r_1}}{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that  $g(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = g(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1) + zh(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2, z))$ , an easy computation shows that  $\dot{V}$ , the derivative of  $V$  along the trajectories of closed-loop system (7), is equal to:

$$\dot{V} = \tilde{x}_1 g(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1)) - z^2$$

In order to show that 0 is an asymptotically equilibrium point for closed-loop system (7), it suffices to prove that one can choose  $\gamma$  such that:

$$\tilde{x}_1 g(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1)) < 0 \quad (8)$$

Since for  $i \neq 1$ ,  $L_i$  is linearly independent of  $L_1$  and the  $Q_i$  s are irreducible, one has  $\tilde{P}_r(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1)) = \gamma^{r_1} A \tilde{x}_1^{r+1} + o(|\tilde{x}_1^{r+1}|)$  with  $A$  a nonzero constant.

For  $s \geq r+2$ ,  $\tilde{P}_s$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $s$  so  $\tilde{P}_s(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1)) = o(|\tilde{x}_1^{s-1}|) = o(|\tilde{x}_1^{r+1}|)$ ,  $\tilde{P}_{r+1}$  can be written:

$$\tilde{P}_{r+1}(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \alpha_i \tilde{x}_1^i \tilde{x}_2^{r+1-i}$$

so

$$\tilde{P}_{r+1}(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1)) = \sum_{i=0}^{r+1} \alpha_i \tilde{x}_1^{r+1} \left( \frac{-\lambda + \gamma \tilde{x}_1^{1/r_1}}{\mu} \right)^{r+1-i}$$

therefore, one can write:

$$g(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) = (\gamma^{r_1} A + B) \tilde{x}_1^{r+1} + o(\tilde{x}_1^{r+1})$$

hence if  $\gamma$  is chosen such that  $\gamma^{r_1} A + B < 0$ , inequality (8) holds in a neighborhood of the origin because  $r+1$  is odd.

Let us return now to system (6) and consider the following feedback law:

$$\begin{cases} v_2(\tilde{x}) &= -\beta_2 \tilde{f}_2(\tilde{x}) + w_2(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \\ v_3(\tilde{x}) &= \tilde{f}_3(\tilde{x}) - \gamma_3 v_2(\tilde{x}) - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{x}_1} g_3(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x}_3 \\ &\vdots \\ v_n(\tilde{x}) &= \tilde{f}_n(\tilde{x}) - \gamma_n v_2(\tilde{x}) - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \tilde{x}_1} g_n(\tilde{x}) - \tilde{x}_n \end{cases}$$

together with the positive definite function:

$$W(\tilde{x}) = V(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{x}_3^2 + \dots + \tilde{x}_n^2)$$

Clearly the derivative of  $W$  along the trajectories of closed-loop system (6) is:

$$\dot{W} = \tilde{x}_1 g(\tilde{x}_1, k(\tilde{x}_1)) - z^2 - \tilde{x}_3^2 - \dots - \tilde{x}_n^2$$

which is obviously negative definite in a neighborhood of the origin. This proves that the above feedback stabilizes system (6).

## 4 Case where $r$ is odd

**Theorem 2** *If there exists  $(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $P_r(1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) < 0$  then system (5) is stabilizable.*

**Proof** Consider the following system derived from system (5):

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 &= P_r(x) \\ \dot{x}_2 &= u_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \dot{x}_n &= u_n \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

We claim that the following feedback law stabilizes system (8):

$$u_i(x) = \lambda_i P_r(x) - x_1 g_i(x) - (x_i - \lambda_i x_1)^r$$

where  $g_i(x) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial P_r}{\partial x_i}(x_1, t(x_2, \dots, x_n) + x_1(1-t)(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)) dt$ . Indeed if we introduce the positive definite function:

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^n (x_i - \lambda_i x_1)^2 \right)$$

taking into account that  $P_r(x) = P_r(x_1, \lambda_2 x_1, \dots, \lambda_n x_1) + \sum_{i=2}^n (x_i - \lambda_i x_1) g_i(x)$ , we obtain that the derivative of  $V$  along the trajectories of closed-loop system (8) is:

$$\dot{V} = x_1^{r+1} P_r(1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) - \sum_{i=2}^n (x_i - \lambda_i x_1)^{r+1}$$

which is negative definite. Now, one can remark that the  $u_i$  are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree as  $P_r$ , so a Massera's theorem ([8]) permits to conclude about L.A.S. of closed-loop system (5) with the feedback given above.

## References

- [1] W. Boothby and R. Marino. Feedback stabilization of planar nonlinear systems. *Systems & Control Letters*, (12):87–92, (1989).
- [2] R.W. Brockett. *Differential Geometric Control Theory*, chapter Asymptotic stability and feedback stabilization, pages 181–191. Brockett, Milmann, Sussmann, 1983.
- [3] W.P. Dayawansa and C.F. Martin. Asymptotic stabilization of two-dimensional real analytic systems. *Systems & Control Letters*, (12):205–211, (1989).
- [4] W.P. Dayawansa, C.F. Martin, and G. Knowles. Asymptotic stabilization of a class of smooth two-dimensional systems. *SIAM J. Control and Optimization*, (28):1321–1349, (1990).
- [5] H. Hermes. Homogeneous coordinates and continuous stabilizing feedback controls. In *Differential Equations. Stability and Control*. S. Elaydi, 1990.
- [6] A. Iggidr and J.C. Vivalda. Global stabilization of homogeneous polynomial systems in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*. to appear.

- [7] M. Kawski. Stabilization of nonlinear systems in the plane. *Systems & Control Letters*, (12):169–175, (1990).
- [8] J.L. Massera. Contribution to stability theory. *Annals of Mathematics*, (64):182–206, (1956).